

The Influence of Adding Matos Soil Stabilizer to the Foundation Layer of a Road Body Stabilized Using Lime on it's Physical Properties.

Andro Ricardus 1* , Priadi E ¹ , and Rustamaji R M ¹

¹*Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Tanjungpura, Jl. Prof.Dr. Hadari Nawawi, Pontianak 78124, Indonesia*

*Corresponding Author: ricardusandro@gmail.com

Article history Received: 12.01.2024 Revised: 25.02.2024 Accepted: 5.03.2024

DOI:10.31629/jit.v5i1.7422

Abstract

From the perspective of Civil Engineering, soil is a collection of minerals, organic matter, and relatively loose deposits, which are located on bedrock. The relatively weak bonds between grains can be caused by carbonates, organic matter, or oxides precipitating between the particles. The space between the particles can contain water, air, or both. The process of physical soil formation that changes rock into smaller particles, occurs due to the effects of erosion, wind, water, humans, or the destruction of soil particles due to changes in temperature or weather. Soil stabilization with lime and matos soil stabilizer is an alternative soil improvement by adding additives. Soil stabilization with lime and matos soil stabilizer as a mixture of crushed soil, lime, matos soil stabilizer, and water which is then compacted to produce a new material, where strength, deformation characteristics, resistance to water, weather, and so on can be adjusted with the need for road pavement, building and road foundations, streams, retaining walls and so on. The physical properties test used is water content (w), γ = soil volume

weight (gr/cm3), specific gravity (Gs), atterberg limits, permeability, hydrometer and gradation analysis

Keyword: Soil Stabilization, Matos, *Soil Stabilizer*, Physical Properties,

1. Introduction

Soil has an important role, as a supporting foundation in every construction work both as a supporting foundation for the construction of buildings, roads (subgrade), embankments and dams, while soil that is not good enough must be stabilized first before being used as a supporting foundation.

Construction activities carried out are usually inseparable from earthworks which form the basis for the establishment of infrastructure in almost all places. This means that construction activities related to the geotechnical field continue to develop. For example, the initial activities of soil investigation, construction of foundations (both shallow foundations and deep foundations (drill pile, driving pile), excavation, backfilling, repair

and strengthening of soil, as well as other activities as the initial activities of the construction process are carried out. The development of these geotechnical construction activities has led to creativity and innovation in terms of implementation methods, tool instrumentation, and other new inventions.

The soil stabilization work using a mixture of lime and matos soil stabilizer. There are several things to do such as soil stabilization work. One way to stabilize the soil is by mixing additive materials with a certain percentage so as to produce maximum soil bearing strength.

Soil stabilization with lime and matos soil stabilizer is an alternative soil improvement by adding additives. Soil stabilization with lime and matos soil stabilizer as a mixture of crushed soil, lime, matos soil stabilizer, and water which is then compacted to produce a new material, where strength, deformation characteristics, resistance to water, weather, and so on can be adjusted with the need for road pavement, building and road foundations, streams, retaining walls and so on.

2. Materials and Methods

Physical property test which includes a test) Moisture content test (ASTM-D 2216-29) Volume weight test (ASTM D 7263) Specific gravity test (ASTM 854-02) Atterberg limit test (ASTM D 4138-00) Hydrometer analysis test (ASTM D 422-63) Sieving analysis test (ASTM D 422-63) Permeability test (ASTM D2434). In this study, tests were carried out to evaluate the physical properties of the soil with a mixture of lime and Matos soil stabilizer. Variations in the mix include natural soil mixed with 4% lime, and different percentages of Matos soil stabilizers, namely 1%, 2%, 4%, and 8%. The curing time for each mixture variation was 0 days, 7 days and 14 days. After completing the test, data analysis will be carried out.

2. 1 Testing of Soil Mixture

Table 1. Water Content test

code	Water Content			
	(Curing 0 days)	(Curing 7 days)	(Curing 14 days)	
S	24.255	24.109	23.954	
SL.	22.222	21.622	20.821	
SLM-01	22.784	22.726	22.71	
SLM-02	22.519	22.477	22.447	
SLM-04	22.101	22.059	22.015	
SLM-08	21.261	21.117	21.071	

Figure 1. Water content test on palnting time

In the graph above it can be concluded that the higher and longer the curing of the lime and matos variations, the lower the crude water value obtained. The lowest water content value obtained at 4% lime variation (20.821).

Table 2 volume weight test

Figure 2. Volume weight test on planting time

From the test results it was found that there was a decrease in the volume weight of wet soil for each variation of matos added with 4% lime both non-curing and curing 7.14 days. This is in line with the reduction in water content that occurs. If the water content decreases, the unit weight of wet soil also decreases. the difference in volume weight using laboratory tests (soil samples are weighed) and with the empirical formula is due to the accuracy of the large scales making the results less precise.

Table 3 specific gravity test

	code	Spesivic Gravity		
		(Curing 0 days)	(Curing 7 days)	(Curing 14 days)
		2.612	2.613	2.614
	SL	2.665	2.607	2.543
	$SLM-01$	2.580	2.540	2.496
	SLM-02	2.473	2.427	2.390
	SLM-04	2.384	2.360	2.335
	SLM-08	2.289	2.243	2.187

Figure 3 spesific gravity test on planning time

that there was a decrease in specific gravity for each variation of Matos added with 4% lime and 1,2,4,8% Matos both non-curing and curing 7.14 days. It can be concluded that the greater the matos variation, the smaller the specific gravity value obtained where the lowest specific gravity results are in the lime 4% + matos 8% variation (GS = 2.187).

Figure 4 indeks plastisitas test on planning time

Based on the graph shown in the graph, it shows the effect of adding the percentage of lime and matos to the value of the soil plasticity index. The lowest soil plasticity index value at curing 0.7.14 days was shown in the percentage of lime 4% + matos 8% (14 days), that is 6.035%. While the highest soil plasticity index value at 0 days ripening is shown in the percentage of lime 4% + matos 1% which is equal to 9.103%. it can be concluded that the greater the percentage of lime + matos added to the soil, the greater the value of the soil plasticity index. However, in testing the Atterberg limits on lime + matos stabilized soil at 0.7.14 days of curing there was a human error so that such results were obtained.

Figure 5 Permeability test on planning time

The value of the permeability coefficient for variations in the mixture of lime and mattos during different curing periods decreased, where the highest decrease was in ST-06 curing 14 days, namely 0.0000016239 cm/second.

Based on the USDA classification where Undisturbed TA was obtained with the classification of silt clay, 4% Limestone TA and 1,2,4,8% matos variation obtained sandy clay. The graph can be seen that for Undisturbed TA the percentage of silt is greater than the percentage of sand and clay, while TA Limestone 4% and matos variation 1,2,4,8% the percentage of sand is greater than silt and clay. With this method it can be concluded that the higher the variation of matos and lime, the higher the percentage of sand in the soil.

It can be seen from the results of the USCS soil classification method that as the addition of matos and lime variations into the soil for different curing variations, the soil type changes due to an increase in the type of sand grains and a decrease in the type of clay grains. , very fine sand, rock powder, fine silt or loamy sand) because it has silt and clay the search limit is 50% or less different from lime with ml classification (inorganic silt, very fine sand, rock powder, fine silt or loamy sand) because silts and clays have a liquid limit of more than 50%.

Table 8 AASHTO Method Clasification

In the soil classification based on AASHTO by reviewing the number of passes in filter no.200, it is 35% smaller or larger. The liquid limit values and plasticity index values obtained for disturbed soils are categorized in group A-7-6, namely loamy soils. Soils with a mixture of 4% lime with a curing time of 0-14 days are categorized in groups A-7 and A-4. Whereas soil with a mixture of lime and matos variations is categorized in group A-5, that is silt.

3. Conclusion

The activity value of clay stabilized with lime and matos ranges from 0.17-0.368, so the clay sample stabilized with lime and matos contains the mineral Kaolinite and is classified as inactive clay. With low development potential.

Based on the results of the permeability test stabilized with 4% lime and matos variations of 1%, 2%, 4% and 8% it is concluded that the permeability coefficient value the greater the matos variation the smaller the permeability coefficient value.

Based on the results of the specific gravity test on lime-stabilized soil with 4% lime variation and 1,2,4,8% matos variation, it can be concluded that the higher the percentage of lime and matt in clay

soil, the higher the value of specific gravity (sg).

Based on the results of the Atterberg test stabilized with 4% lime variation and 1,2,4,8% matos variation, it can be concluded that the more matos variations, the lower the plasticity index (PI) value.

Before carrying out soil sampling at the specified location, it should be prepared with critical measures so that energy, time, funds and so on become efficient. The need for accuracy in sample processing during soil mashing to match the planned data.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank my family who have prayed and supported me so that this research can be completed. I would also like to thank Dr.-Ing. Ir. Eka Priadi, M.T and thanks to Dr.rer.nat. Ir. R.M. Rustamaji, M.T. IPU who has given me instructions and advice as well as all the knowledge bestowed upon me during my guidance process.

References

- [1] Herdiana, I Komang Tri, Iswan dan Ahmad Zakaria. 2018. Stabilisasi Tanah Lempung yang Dicampur Zat Additive Kapur dan Matos ditinjau dari Waktu Perendaman. Jurnal Rekayasa Volume 6 Nomor 1, 2018.
- [2] Bowles, J, 1984, Sifat-Sifat Fisis dan Geoteknis Tanah (Mekanika Tanah), Edisi Kedua. Erlangga, Jakarta.
- [3] Roger B., (2000), "Soil Stabilizers On Universally Accessible Trails", Mechanical Engineering Technician USDA Forest Service San Dimas Technology and Development Center, San Dimas, California.
- [4] PT. Watukali Ciptaq Ciptama, 2016, Matos The Real Soil Stabilizer, Diakses Pada Tahun 2017 Melalui [https://indonetwork.co.id.](https://indonetwork.co.id/)
- [5] SNI-8460-2017 Geotekni SNI 6371-2015. *Tata Cara Pengklasifikasian Tanah Untuk Keperluan Teknik Dengan Sistem Klasifikasi Unifikasi Tanah*.
- [6] ASTM-D7928-21e1 Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Distribution (Gradation) of FineGrained Soils Using the Sedimentation (Hydrometer) Analysis.
- [7] ASTM-D2216-19 Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Determination of water (Moisture) Content of Soil and Rock by Mass.
- [8] ASTM-D2434 Standard Test Methods for Measurement of Hydraulic Conductivity of Coarse- Grained Soils ASTM-D7263 Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Determination of Density and Unit Weight of Soil Specimens.
- [9] ASTM-D422-63 Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils ASTM-D423-66 Standard Test Method of Test for Liquid Limit of Soil.

