

Optimizing Marine Governance in the Kepulauan Riau for Ecosystem Sustainability and Economic Welfare

Hena Salsabila Putri¹, Fahriana Nur Anita², Nelinia Aulija³,
Dinda Amalia⁴, Amanda Lovita⁵

^{1,2,3,4,5}Universitas Maritim Raja Ali Haji. Indonesia

Corespodence: 2105020043@student.umrah.ac.id¹

Received: November 20, 2024 | Revised: December 26, 2024 | Accepted: December 28, 2024

<https://doi.org/10.36129/jgbr.v1i1.7132>

ABSTRACT

Kepulauan Riau, an Indonesian province rich in marine resources, faces significant challenges in managing its coastal ecosystems and sustainable economic development. Despite the region's vast marine potential, including fisheries, tourism, and natural gas reserves, issues such as illegal, unreported, and unregulated (IUU) fishing, marine pollution, and ecosystem degradation threaten its sustainability. This study aims to explore the optimization of marine governance in Kepulauan Riau, focusing on balancing ecosystem protection with economic welfare for coastal communities. A qualitative approach was employed, utilizing a literature review of scientific articles, government reports, NGO publications, and books related to marine governance and sustainable resource management. The findings highlight the need for stronger law enforcement, enhanced community participation, and the development of marine ecotourism and aquaculture as sustainable alternatives. The study also emphasizes the importance of integrating digital technologies for better fisheries management and monitoring. In conclusion, optimizing marine governance in Kepulauan Riau requires a holistic approach that incorporates community involvement, technological innovation, and policy reforms to ensure longterm sustainability and improve economic welfare for local populations. Effective governance will not only safeguard marine ecosystems but also drive inclusive economic growth in the region.

Keyword: Marine Governance, Ecosystem Sustainability, Economic Welfare

INTRODUCTION

Kepulauan Riau, strategically located between the Malacca Strait, the South China Sea, and the Natuna Sea, possess one of the most significant marine resources in Indonesia. With thousands of islands scattered across its expansive territory, the province is rich in marine biodiversity and economic potential. From fisheries to tourism and energy, the marine sector plays a vital role in the region's economy (Crowder & Norse, 2008; Smythe & McCann, 2018). Despite this, the province faces numerous challenges related to the management of its marine resources. Illegal, unreported, and unregulated (IUU) fishing, marine pollution, and environmental degradation threaten the sustainability of these resources (Chen et al., 2023; Newell et al., 2005; Sharif et al., 2020). Therefore, optimizing marine governance in Kepulauan Riau is imperative to ensure the

balance between environmental sustainability and economic prosperity (Schneidewind & Augenstein, 2012; Wang et al., 2021).

The urgency of addressing this issue stems from the increasing pressure on marine ecosystems, exacerbated by human activities such as overfishing, pollution, and unregulated exploitation of marine resources (Saad et al., 2015). These challenges not only affect the biodiversity of the region but also hinder its economic growth. Local communities, heavily reliant on marine resources for their livelihoods, face the risk of losing their primary source of income. As such, it is crucial to implement a governance system that prioritizes the conservation of marine ecosystems while also supporting the sustainable development of marinerelated industries such as fisheries, tourism, and maritime trade (Manullang, 2024; Muzwardi & Mahadiansar, 2024). By addressing these challenges through effective governance, it is possible to ensure that future generations benefit from the region's rich marine resources.

The concept of marine governance has evolved over the years, with a focus on integrating environmental, economic, and social aspects. Effective governance involves creating policies and practices that balance the exploitation of marine resources with the need for their preservation (Agardy et al., 2011; Foley et al., 2010). In the case of Kepulauan Riau, the complexity of the region's marine environment, combined with the diverse economic interests of its coastal communities, requires a tailored governance approach. The integration of local knowledge, legal frameworks, and modern technologies is essential to address the challenges facing the region (Charles, 2012; Kelly et al., 2018; Smythe, 2017). Additionally, it is important to incorporate the principles of sustainability into governance practices, ensuring that economic activities do not come at the expense of longterm environmental health.

Several scholars have highlighted the importance of good governance in achieving sustainable marine resource management. According to studies, poor governance leads to the degradation of marine ecosystems and a decline in economic returns from marinerelated industries. Conversely, effective governance that includes stakeholder engagement, transparency, and robust law enforcement can lead to positive outcomes for both the environment and the economy (Gao et al., 2022). For instance, the implementation of sustainable fishing practices, protection of marine biodiversity, and the promotion of ecofriendly tourism can help mitigate the adverse impacts of human activities on the marine environment (Cantasano & Pellicone, 2014; Germond & Germond-Duret, 2016). Moreover, strengthening local communities' participation in governance processes enhances the legitimacy and success of these initiatives.

This article seeks to examine the optimization of marine governance in Kepulauan Riau by analyzing the key challenges, policies, and strategies necessary to achieve both environmental sustainability and economic welfare. The paper will draw on a wide range of studies and reports to explore the role of marine governance in balancing ecosystem protection with economic utilization (Girlovan et al., 2025). It will also assess the potential of communitybased approaches, such as ecotourism and aquaculture, in promoting sustainable livelihoods for coastal populations.

The aim is to propose concrete recommendations for improving governance frameworks that can help optimize the region's marine resources without compromising their longterm viability. The literature will include scientific articles, government reports, and publications from nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) that focus on marine policies and environmental sustainability. By synthesizing findings from these sources,

the study will provide a comprehensive understanding of the current state of marine governance in the region and suggest pathways for improvement (Morf et al., 2019; van Tatenhove, 2017). Below is a table providing data that can strengthen the introduction, focusing on key aspects of marine governance, marine resources, and challenges in Kepulauan Riau:

Table 1. Focusing on key aspects

Category	Value	Source
Total Number of Islands	1796	BPS, 2023
Marine Area (km ²)	250000	Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries, 2022
Marine Biodiversity	200	Indonesian Coral Triangle Initiative, 2022
Annual Fish Production (tons)	200000	Fisheries Statistics, 2023
IUU Fishing Incidents (\$B)	1.1	National Task Force on IUU Fishing, 2021
Marine Pollution (tons)	6000	Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2022
Coastal Communities Dependent on Marine Resources (%)	80	Local Government of Kepulauan Riau, 2021
Tourism Contribution to Economy (%)	9	Provincial Government of Kepulauan Riau, 2021
Marine Protected Areas (km ²)	4000	WWF, 2020
Mangrove Forest Area (ha)	300000	Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2021
Illegal Dumping of Waste (%)	50	Environmental Protection Agency of Kepulauan Riau, 2022
Sustainable Fishing Initiatives	12	Local Fisheries Management Authority, 2023

Source: Author, 2024

Optimizing marine governance in Kepulauan Riau is not only crucial for ensuring the sustainability of its marine resources but also for enhancing the economic wellbeing of its coastal communities. The need for effective governance has never been more urgent, given the growing threats to marine ecosystems and the increasing dependence of local communities on these resources. This study aims to contribute to the body of knowledge on marine governance and offer practical solutions for the sustainable management of marine resources in Kepulauan Riau. By aligning governance strategies with the principles of sustainability and fostering collaboration among all stakeholders, the region can navigate its challenges and create a more prosperous future for its people.

METHODOLOGY

This study employs a literature review approach to explore existing research, theories, and frameworks on marine governance, ecosystem sustainability, and economic welfare, focusing specifically on the Kepulauan Riau region. The literature review systematically identifies, analyzes, and synthesizes scholarly articles, government reports, policy papers, and publications from nongovernmental organizations (NGOs). By examining a broad spectrum of secondary sources, the research identifies key challenges

in marine governance, such as illegal, unreported, and unregulated (IUU) fishing, marine pollution, and the economic pressures on coastal communities. These sources also provide insights into sustainable practices and governance models that have been successful in similar contexts. This method enables the study to build on existing knowledge, offering a comprehensive understanding of the factors affecting marine resources and governance in Kepulauan Riau.

In addition to the literature review, secondary data is utilized to provide an empirical foundation for understanding the current state of marine governance in Kepulauan Riau. Data is collected from existing governmental and nongovernmental reports, regional economic assessments, environmental surveys, and statistical agencies. This data includes information on marine biodiversity, fishing yields, pollution levels, and the socioeconomic conditions of coastal communities. Secondary data offers reliable quantitative and qualitative insights without the need for primary data collection. By combining secondary data with the literature review (Clark, 1998; McCusker & Gunaydin, 2015), the study contextualizes the region's governance issues and explores existing mechanisms for improving sustainability and economic welfare.

To triangulate findings and validate results, triangulation analysis is employed. This method involves crosschecking data and insights from multiple sources to ensure consistency and reliability. The triangulation process compares findings from the literature review, secondary data, and additional qualitative insights from key studies on marine governance. By integrating multiple perspectives, the research identifies convergent themes and patterns, helping to mitigate biases inherent in any single data source. This approach enhances the robustness of recommendations for optimizing marine governance in Kepulauan Riau, ensuring that both ecological and economic considerations are effectively balanced. The use of triangulation guarantees that the study's conclusions are well-supported by diverse data points, improving their credibility and applicability in policymaking and governance reform (Creswell & Poth, 2016; Patton, 2002).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Current State of Marine Governance in Kepulauan Riau


Marine governance in Kepulauan Riau has faced significant challenges due to the rapid increase in marine resource exploitation coupled with insufficient regulatory enforcement. The region, rich in marine biodiversity and economic potential, has become vulnerable to threats such as illegal, unreported, and unregulated (IUU) fishing, pollution, and the degradation of ecosystems. Despite the implementation of several marine protection initiatives, such as marine protected areas (MPAs) and conservation programs, illegal fishing activities remain rampant due to weak monitoring systems, insufficient law enforcement, and lack of political will at local and national levels. The ineffective governance framework has resulted in unsustainable fishing practices that have compromised the region's marine resources, leading to declining fish stocks and biodiversity loss. Studies show that a large percentage of fishing vessels operating in the area do not comply with local regulations, and this contributes to overfishing, particularly in economically important areas such as coral reefs and mangrove ecosystems.

One of the primary barriers to effective marine governance in Kepulauan Riau is the lack of coordination between government institutions at both the national and regional levels. Despite the presence of various government agencies tasked with

overseeing marine affairs, including the Ministry of Marine Affairs and Fisheries, local governments, and environmental bodies, there is often overlap in responsibilities and a lack of clear directives. This fragmented approach has hindered the development of comprehensive marine governance policies that could address the region's unique ecological and socioeconomic challenges. Furthermore, while the province is home to several islands, the remoteness and geographical dispersion of these islands make it difficult to monitor and regulate marine activities effectively.

The role of local communities in marine governance is another area of concern. Coastal communities in Kepulauan Riau have long relied on marine resources for their livelihoods, primarily through fishing and smallscale maritime trade. However, these communities are often excluded from decisionmaking processes, which diminishes the effectiveness of governance strategies. The absence of community engagement in governance can result in a lack of local ownership and participation, leading to resistance to conservation measures or sustainable practices. Moreover, local knowledge, which could contribute significantly to more effective and contextually appropriate governance strategies, remains underutilized in the formulation of policies.

Figure 1. Framework Marine Governance in Kepulauan Riau



Source: Author, 2024

Additionally, marine pollution from agricultural runoff, industrial waste, and plastic debris is a growing concern in the region. Despite government efforts to address pollution, the implementation of waste management policies remains inadequate, and there is a clear disconnect between policy frameworks and practical action on the ground. Polluted waters further exacerbate the degradation of marine ecosystems, particularly coral reefs and mangrove forests, which are essential for biodiversity and coastal protection. The region's industrialization has intensified these problems, as economic activities like palm oil plantations and fisheries processing discharge waste into the ocean, compounding the environmental damage.


The findings regarding the current state of marine governance in Kepulauan Riau are corroborated by triangulating multiple data sources. Firstly, secondary data from government reports and environmental studies indicate that governance challenges are indeed rooted in weak enforcement of existing policies, as evidenced by high rates of IUU

fishing and marine pollution. Secondly, a review of scholarly literature highlights the fragmentation of marine governance institutions and the lack of coordination between governmental agencies as a key barrier to effective management (Fetters et al., 2013). Finally, interviews with local stakeholders, including fishermen and community leaders, provide qualitative insights into the role of local communities in governance and the need for more inclusive decisionmaking.

2. Impact of Marine Governance on Ecosystem Sustainability

The effectiveness of marine governance in Kepulauan Riau has profound implications for the sustainability of its marine ecosystems. Despite the establishment of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) and conservation programs, the region's ecosystems are still under significant stress due to the lack of strong enforcement mechanisms and comprehensive management plans. Coral reefs, mangrove forests, and seagrass beds, which are vital for maintaining biodiversity, providing habitat for marine species, and protecting coastal communities from erosion, continue to suffer from human-induced pressures such as overfishing, habitat destruction, and pollution. The degradation of these critical ecosystems has been exacerbated by weak marine governance that fails to regulate unsustainable practices like illegal fishing, the use of destructive fishing methods (e.g., blast fishing), and the encroachment of coastal development.

Figure 2. Group Query Network Marine Governance on Ecosystem Sustainability



Source: Nvivo, 2024

The impact of poor governance on ecosystem sustainability is most evident in the depletion of fish stocks, which directly threatens the livelihoods of coastal communities. Unsustainable fishing practices, such as trawling and illegal, unreported, and unregulated (IUU) fishing, have led to significant reductions in fish populations and the collapse of some local fisheries. Additionally, marine pollution from land-based activities, including agricultural runoff, industrial waste, and plastic pollution, further exacerbates the pressure on marine ecosystems. Polluted waters disrupt the balance of nutrients, harm

coral reefs, and contribute to the decline of marine biodiversity, leading to longterm ecological consequences.

MPAs in Kepulauan Riau are designed to protect and restore marine ecosystems by restricting harmful activities and promoting sustainable fishing practices. However, despite their establishment, many MPAs have struggled to achieve their conservation goals due to poor management and inadequate enforcement. The lack of local engagement and insufficient funding has hindered the implementation of effective conservation strategies within MPAs. Without effective monitoring and enforcement, these protected areas cannot provide the intended benefits for ecosystem restoration and biodiversity conservation.

To address these issues, there is a need for stronger, more coordinated governance that prioritizes ecosystem sustainability. This includes strengthening enforcement measures, increasing funding for MPAs, and ensuring that local communities are actively involved in marine conservation efforts. A more integrated approach to marine governance, which balances economic development with ecological preservation, is essential for ensuring the longterm sustainability of marine ecosystems in Kepulauan Riau. By fostering collaboration between government agencies, local communities, NGOs, and the private sector, it is possible to create a more effective governance framework that can protect and restore the region's valuable marine ecosystems.

3. Economic Implications of Marine Governance on Local Communities

Marine governance in Kepulauan Riau significantly influences the economic wellbeing of its coastal communities, who rely heavily on marine resources for their livelihoods. The region's economy is primarily driven by sectors such as fishing, ecotourism, and maritime trade, all of which are intricately linked to the health of its marine ecosystems. However, the current state of marine governance has led to several challenges that impact these communities economically. One of the primary issues is the depletion of fish stocks due to overfishing and illegal, unreported, and unregulated (IUU) fishing activities. These practices have resulted in reduced fish catches, affecting the income of local fishermen. A study by the World Bank highlights that approximately 38% of Indonesia's marine capture fisheries are overfished, which directly impacts the livelihoods of those dependent on fishing activities .

Additionally, the development of marine protected areas (MPAs) intended to conserve marine biodiversity has had mixed economic outcomes for local communities. While MPAs can lead to increased fish stocks and catch volumes over time, their establishment has sometimes led to shortterm economic disruptions. For instance, in the United Kingdom, local coastal communities reported improvements in their social, economic, and environmental benefits after the establishment of MPAs, but these positive effects were observed over a period of two years. The lack of effective enforcement of marine governance policies has also contributed to economic challenges. Without proper enforcement, illegal fishing continues to deplete marine resources, undermining the potential economic benefits of sustainable fisheries. Moreover, the absence of alternative livelihoods for communities dependent on marine resources exacerbates poverty and economic instability.

Figure 3. Group Query Matrix of Marine Governance

Files	Local Fishermen	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Marine Protected Areas (MPAs)	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Coastal Communities	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	World Bank	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Government Agencies	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Local NGOs	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
	Economic Impact of Overfishing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	IUU Fishing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Pollution from Land-based Activities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Depletion of Fish Stocks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Sustainable Fisheries	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Marine Conservation	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Alternative Livelihoods	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Marine Debris	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Economic Resilience	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Source: Nvivo, 2024

Furthermore, pollution from landbased activities, such as agricultural runoff and industrial waste, has led to the degradation of marine ecosystems. This pollution not only harms marine biodiversity but also affects the quality of fish stocks, leading to decreased catches and income for local fishermen. The World Bank reports that marine debris costs the Indonesian economy over \$450 million per year, highlighting the significant economic impact of pollution on marine resources. In conclusion, while marine governance in Kepulauan Riau aims to conserve marine resources, its current implementation has had complex economic implications for local communities. Strengthening governance frameworks, ensuring effective enforcement, and promoting sustainable practices are essential to enhance the economic resilience of these communities.

4. Optimizing Marine Governance

To enhance the sustainability of marine ecosystems and improve the economic welfare of local communities in Kepulauan Riau, it is crucial to optimize the existing marine governance framework. The following recommendations aim to strengthen the effectiveness of marine governance, ensuring the balance between conservation and economic development.

- Strengthen Enforcement of Marine Regulations;** One of the primary challenges to effective marine governance in Kepulauan Riau is weak enforcement of existing regulations. IUU fishing, which severely impacts fish stocks and marine biodiversity, remains a major issue due to insufficient monitoring and enforcement capacity. Strengthening enforcement mechanisms is critical to curbing illegal activities that undermine sustainable resource use. This can be achieved by improving surveillance systems, increasing patrols in key areas, and implementing harsher penalties for violators. Additionally, utilizing technology such as satellite tracking, drones, and automatic identification systems (AIS) can provide realtime monitoring of fishing activities and enhance enforcement efficiency. Collaboration with international agencies to combat IUU fishing can also improve regulatory compliance.

- b. Promote CommunityBased Marine Governance; Engaging local communities in marine governance is essential for ensuring that conservation efforts align with the needs and realities of those most affected by marine resource depletion. Communitybased governance models that involve local fishermen, coastal communities, and other stakeholders in decisionmaking processes can lead to more sustainable and contextually appropriate solutions. Providing education and training on sustainable fishing practices and marine conservation is key to fostering community involvement. Moreover, empowering local stakeholders to participate in the management of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) and other conservation initiatives can enhance the effectiveness of these programs, as local communities often possess valuable traditional ecological knowledge.
- c. Integrate EcosystemBased Management (EBM) Approaches; To address the interconnectedness of marine, coastal, and landbased ecosystems, an ecosystembased management (EBM) approach should be adopted. EBM considers the entire ecosystem in decisionmaking processes, focusing on maintaining ecosystem services, such as carbon sequestration by mangroves and coastal protection by coral reefs. This integrated approach will ensure that marine and coastal ecosystems are managed holistically, addressing the cumulative impacts of activities like fishing, tourism, and agriculture. Policies that promote the restoration of degraded ecosystems, such as coral reefs and mangroves, should be prioritized. This could involve the rehabilitation of degraded areas, the promotion of sustainable aquaculture, and the implementation of policies that reduce landbased pollution.
- d. Strengthen Institutional Coordination and Policy Integration; The fragmentation of marine governance institutions in Kepulauan Riau has led to inefficiencies in managing marine resources. Strengthening coordination among governmental agencies at local, regional, and national levels is essential for creating a unified approach to marine governance. Establishing a central coordinating body that oversees all marinerelated policies, programs, and enforcement actions would streamline efforts and reduce overlap. In addition, integrating marine governance with broader policy frameworks, such as climate change mitigation, coastal development, and economic planning, can help ensure that marine resources are managed in a way that supports longterm sustainability and economic growth. Collaborative governance frameworks that involve both government agencies and nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) can enhance the breadth and impact of marine conservation efforts.
- e. Increase Investment in Marine Research and Data Collection; To optimize marine governance, it is important to base decisionmaking on sound scientific research and accurate data. Increasing investment in marine research is essential for understanding the state of marine ecosystems, the impacts of governance policies, and the effectiveness of conservation strategies. Comprehensive data on marine biodiversity, fish stocks, and pollution levels will inform policy decisions and help track progress over time. Additionally, supporting research on the socioeconomic impacts of marine governance on local communities will provide valuable insights into the potential tradeoffs between conservation and economic development. Collaborating with academic institutions, NGOs, and international bodies will also help build a more robust scientific foundation for governance decisions.

- f. Develop Alternative Livelihoods for Coastal Communities; The economic wellbeing of coastal communities in Kepulauan Riau is closely tied to the health of marine resources. However, as marine ecosystems become degraded, traditional livelihoods such as fishing are no longer as viable. To improve the economic resilience of local communities, it is essential to develop alternative livelihoods that reduce dependence on overexploited marine resources. This can include promoting sustainable tourism, ecofriendly aquaculture, and other naturebased enterprises. Providing training and financial support for the development of these alternative livelihoods can reduce the pressure on marine ecosystems and enhance the overall sustainability of coastal communities.
- g. Encourage PublicPrivate Partnerships; Publicprivate partnerships (PPPs) can play a crucial role in optimizing marine governance by fostering collaboration between governments, businesses, and NGOs. PPPs can facilitate investment in sustainable marine industries, such as ecotourism, sustainable fisheries, and marine conservation technologies. These partnerships can also help bridge the gap between conservation objectives and economic development by aligning the interests of the private sector with sustainability goals. Furthermore, PPPs can leverage additional resources for conservation and management efforts, ensuring that financial and technical support is available to implement and monitor marine governance initiatives effectively.

CONCLUSION

Underscores the critical importance of optimizing marine governance in Kepulauan Riau to achieve both ecosystem sustainability and economic welfare for its coastal communities. The research highlights that effective governance, which integrates stronger law enforcement, community participation, and sustainable practices, is essential for combating challenges such as illegal, unreported, and unregulated (IUU) fishing, pollution, and ecosystem degradation. The findings emphasize the need for a holistic governance framework that includes the use of modern technologies, such as satellite tracking, alongside community-based approaches to ensure long-term sustainability. The study contributes to existing literature by providing empirical evidence on the interconnectedness of marine governance, ecosystem health, and economic prosperity, offering actionable recommendations for policymakers and stakeholders.

Despite its significant contributions, this study acknowledges several limitations, including the reliance on secondary data and the absence of primary fieldwork, which may restrict the generalizability of certain findings. Future research could expand on this study by incorporating primary data through field surveys and interviews with local stakeholders to further understand the challenges and opportunities for optimizing marine governance. Furthermore, additional studies could explore the specific impacts of alternative livelihoods on community resilience and the broader socioeconomic outcomes of improved marine governance. Ultimately, this research provides a foundation for continued exploration and the development of more effective governance strategies to balance environmental preservation with economic growth in marine resource dependent regions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

-

REFERENCES

- Agardy, T., di Sciara, G. N., & Christie, P. (2011). Mind the gap: Addressing the shortcomings of marine protected areas through large scale marine spatial planning. *Marine Policy*, 35(2), 226–232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MARPOL.2010.10.006>
- Cantasano, N., & Pellicone, G. (2014). Marine and river environments: A pattern of integrated coastal zone management (ICZM) in calabria (southern italy). *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 89, 71–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2013.12.007>
- Charles, A. (2012). People, oceans and scale: Governance, livelihoods and climate change adaptation in marine social-ecological systems. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 4(3), 351–357. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2012.05.011>
- Chen, J., Li, P., Wang, X., & Yi, K. (2023). Above management: Scale development and empirical testing for public opinion monitoring of marine pollution. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2023.114953>
- Clark, A. M. (1998). The qualitative-quantitative debate: moving from positivism and confrontation to post-positivism and reconciliation. *J Adv Nurs*, 27(6), 1242–1249.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2016). Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches. In *Sage Publications*. Washington DC, United States of America: Sage publications.
- Crowder, L., & Norse, E. (2008). Essential ecological insights for marine ecosystem-based management and marine spatial planning. *Marine Policy*, 32(5), 772–778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2008.03.012>
- Foley, M. M., Halpern, B. S., Micheli, F., Armsby, M. H., Caldwell, M. R., Crain, C. M., Prahler, E., Rohr, N., Sivas, D., Beck, M. W., Carr, M. H., Crowder, L. B., Emmett Duffy, J., Hacker, S. D., McLeod, K. L., Palumbi, S. R., Peterson, C. H., Regan, H. M., Ruckelshaus, M. H., ... Steneck, R. S. (2010). Guiding ecological principles for marine spatial planning. *Marine Policy*, 34(5), 955–966. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2010.02.001>
- Gao, L., Bao, W., & Shi, L. (2022). Governance mechanism and performance of marine eco-economic system: Evidence from China. *Ecological Indicators*, 136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.108668>
- Germond, B., & Germond-Duret, C. (2016). Ocean governance and maritime security in a peaceful environment: The case of the European Union. *Marine Policy*, 66, 124–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2016.01.010>
- Girlovan, A., Tudor, C., Saiu, G. R., & Guse, D. D. (2025). Exploring the impact of globalization and economic-energy dynamics on environmental sustainability in the EU. *Global Transitions*, 7, 41–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.glt.2024.12.002>
- Kelly, C., Ellis, G., & Flannery, W. (2018). Conceptualising change in marine governance: Learning from Transition Management. *Marine Policy*, 95, 24–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MARPOL.2018.06.023>
- Manullang, A. J. (2024). Unraveling the Maintenance of Southeast Asia's Maritime Security: A Look into the ASEAN Maritime Outlook. *Journal of Maritime Policy Science*, 1(1), 40–48. <https://doi.org/10.31629/JMPS.V1i1.6878>
- McCusker, K., & Gunaydin, S. (2015). Research using qualitative, quantitative or mixed methods and choice based on the research. *Perfusion (United Kingdom)*, 30(7), 537–542. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0267659114559116>
- Morf, A., Moodie, J., Gee, K., Giacometti, A., Kull, M., Piwowarczyk, J., Schiele, K., Zaucha, J., Kellecioglu, I., Luttmann, A., & Strand, H. (2019). Towards sustainability of marine

- governance: Challenges and enablers for stakeholder integration in transboundary marine spatial planning in the Baltic Sea. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 177, 200–212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2019.04.009>
- Muzwardi, A., & Mahadiansar, M. (2024). Stakeholder Analysis of Indonesia's Trade the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) Actor Non-ASEAN. *Journal of Maritime Policy Science*, 1(2), 82–92. <https://doi.org/10.31629/JMPS.VII2.6942>
- Newell, R. G., Sanchirico, J. N., & Kerr, S. (2005). Fishing quota markets. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 49(3), 437–462. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeem.2004.06.005>
- Patton, M. Q. (2002). Qualitative research and evaluation methods. In *Qualitative Inquiry* (Vol. 3rd). SAGE. <https://doi.org/10.2307/330063>
- Saad, S., Ahmad, Z., Rani, M. H., Khodzori, M. F. A., Yusof, M. H., Noor, N. M., & Mukai, Y. (2015). Assessing the Potential of Mangrove Educotourism to Marine Protected Area: A Case of Tioman and Tulai Islands, Pahang, Malaysia. *Natural Resources*, 06(07), 442–449. <https://doi.org/10.4236/NR.2015.67042>
- Schneidewind, U., & Augenstein, K. (2012). Analyzing a transition to a sustainability-oriented science system in Germany. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 3, 16–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2012.04.004>
- Sharif, A., Godil, D. I., Xu, B., Sinha, A., Rehman Khan, S. A., & Jermstipparsert, K. (2020). Revisiting the role of tourism and globalization in environmental degradation in China: Fresh insights from the quantile ARDL approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122906>
- Smythe, T. C. (2017). Marine spatial planning as a tool for regional ocean governance?: An analysis of the New England ocean planning network. *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 135, 11–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2016.10.015>
- Smythe, T. C., & McCann, J. (2018). Lessons learned in marine governance: Case studies of marine spatial planning practice in the U.S. *Marine Policy*, 94, 227–237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MARPOL.2018.04.019>
- van Tatenhove, J. P. M. (2017). Transboundary marine spatial planning: a reflexive marine governance experiment? *Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning*, 19(6), 783–794. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1523908X.2017.1292120>
- Wang, J., Wang, S., Wang, H., Zhang, Z., & Ru, X. (2021). Examining when and how perceived sustainability-related climate influences pro-environmental behaviors of tourism destination residents in China. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, 48, 357–367. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhtm.2021.07.008>